
Prevention in Nephrology: The Issue of Today and the Challenge for the Future

K. G. Zafirovska,
Department of Nephrology, Clinical Centre – Skopje, R. Macedonia

The number of patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) is increasing worldwide and end stage renal disease (ESRD) is perceived as a major health problem. According to the United States Renal Data System (USRDS) (1) in 2001 more than 400.000 adults had ESRD and over 300.000 required maintenance dialysis. Over the next decade, the number of patients with ESRD treated by dialysis may double. It is projected to increase to a prevalence of approximately 725.000 by 2010, and there will be more than 2 million patients worldwide on maintenance dialysis, a 400% increase in 20 years (2) This increase is being driven especially by the worldwide increase in number of patients entering ESRD without primary diagnosis of renal disease. The bulk of individuals at risk for renal function loss is composed by patients with hypertension, diabetes type 2, hyperlipidemia and atherosclerosis, accounting presently for more than half of the new ESRD cases.

The number of patients with ESRD is but the tip of the iceberg of the total number of patients with progressive CKD. It has been estimated that CKD population is 20-times larger than the number of new patients reaching ESRD (1). An analysis of data from the NHANES III found a prevalence of renal impairment of approximately 3%, or 5.6 million individuals, defined by serum, creatinine levels (3). A more recent analysis of the NHANES III database (CKD defined as persistent microalbuminuria with a GFR \geq to 60 mL/min/1.73 m² or a GFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m²), revealed a prevalence rate of approximately 11%, - 19.2 million individuals (4). Furthermore, the increasing number of ESRD is a major public health issue, given the overall poor outcomes and high costs. The societal and financial costs of renal replacement therapy are proving too great for developed nations to cope with, and are an impossible burden for developing nations to meet. Developed countries appear to spend about 10% of their health care budgets on ESRD population and the cost is growing at 10% per year (1)

Given these realities, it is evident that measures need to be taken to help reduce this disease burden. Until recently the medical community has not been effective at implementing appropriate screening and prevention strategies. It is, also difficult to compare Europe and the USA because different criteria are used in data collection. In Europe no large epidemiological studies like the NHANES III have been conducted as yet.

Successful preventive strategies should include identification and treatment of populations at high risk, effective therapy for the known risk factors and should be focused on primary prevention. Prevention needs a clear

understanding of the prevalence and outcome of renal disorders, the earlier stages of renal disease, the risk factors, and the appropriate treatment of populations at risk. The core idea of today's preventive strategies is identification of patients at risk for CKD with early risk markers and subsequent intervention aimed at prevention of progressive renal function loss.

The pathophysiological basis of CKD progression is the permanent loss of functioning nephrons, which leads to compensatory changes in the remaining nephrons that, over time, become maladaptative and the latter paradoxically causes further tissue injury, nephron loss and disease progression, independent of the primary cause of the renal disease (5,6). The rate of progression shows considerable inter-individual variability, and is dependent on multiple factors that mediate progression and can be divided into at least 3 groups:

1. Factors that increase vulnerability to develop chronic renal injury and cannot be specifically modified by current therapy ("non-modifiable" or susceptibility factors): genetic factors and racial background (7); gender: males have a two-fold higher rate of decline of CKD (9); age: the natural loss of GFR of 1 mL/min/year from age 25, would suggest that the age at the time of CKD onset influences the amount of remaining viable kidney tissue (8). The prevalence of CKD rises with age; while approximately 2% of individuals ages 40-59 have moderate to severe CKD, 25% of those older than 70 years have stage 3-5 CKD (4); reduced nephron number at birth may have an important role in determining the rate of progression because no new nephrons are formed post-partum (9)

2. The most important initiation factor is the cause of the underlying nephropathy that is an important determinant of rate of progression. Untreated diabetic nephropathy is considered to have the fastest rate of progression - rate of loss of GFR being 10 mL/min/year (10), and the usual rate of progression of chronic glomerulonephropathies is estimated to be 2.5 and 1.5 times faster than chronic tubulointerstitial diseases and hypertensive nephrosclerosis respectively (11)

3. Progression factors are those that accelerate the deterioration in renal function following injury to the kidney (5): sustained elevation in the systemic blood pressure and nephrotic-range proteinuria are the most important progression factors that strongly predict renal function decline, regardless of the underlying cause of CKD (13); the severity of tubular atrophy and interstitial fibrosis (13) and glomerulosclerosis (14) on renal biopsy predict a poor renal outcome. Studies suggest that factors

such as hyperglycaemia, (15) hyperlipidaemia, (16) and smoking, (17) also predict a more rapid progression. New insights on the risk factors and pathogenesis of CKD stressed the importance of early screening of high-risk populations and the implementation of evidence-based treatment guidelines as early as possible. Since most of such subjects are asymptomatic, their identification must rely on some sort of laboratory evaluation. Screening programs on the general population or risk groups, and early interception are two possible strategies for identifying CKD patients (18). According to McClellan et al (19) the following conditions are necessary to justify a screening program: the prevalence of the disease should be known and high enough; there should exist a prolonged period for undetected disease; early effective treatments should exist; the screening test should have high sensitivity and excellent receiver operator characteristic curves; access to adequate care should be available. The economics involved need to be understood in order to develop comprehensive, cost-effective strategies. Very few studies have been undertaken on the cost-effective identification of individuals at risk for CKD. In the developed countries CKD screening and prevention programs and studies have been undertaken: NHANES III (4), PREVEND (20), NKF-KEEP (21), AusDiab Study (22), Okinawa Kidney Study (23), but only few in the developing countries: Mexico Zumi Kidney Project, Bolivia Screening Program, Chennai Community Screening Program –India

Next to the blood pressure, albuminuria and renal function assessed by serum creatinine/calculated GFR may be best markers for risk, simple and inexpensive predictors to identify subjects at risk for progressive CKD at all stages

from the normal population to the early and late diabetic state.

In the PREVEND study (20) it was found that microalbuminuria occurs frequently in the general population, even in subjects without diabetes and hypertension. As in diabetes, already modestly increased levels were associated with an increased GFR in patients with essential hypertension and in non-diabetic non-hypertensive subjects. Microalbuminuria was associated with an enhanced risk for cardiovascular mortality and probably also with an enhanced risk for progressive renal failure not only in diabetic, but also in hypertensive and in non-diabetic, non-hypertensive subjects. The screening of the 41 000 subjects in this study was performed with a budget of ~ 300 000 Euro suggesting the effort was worth the cost.

The conclusion of the AusDiab Study was that while albuminuria may be a suitable test for general population screening for renal and cardiovascular disease, it should not replace testing for proteinuria in those with known or suspected renal disease (22).

In the Okinawa Kidney Study, predictors of ESRD were identified. Besides male gender, they found significant predictors to be proteinuria, hematuria, hypertension and high serum creatinine (23)

Over the past decade there have been a number of relatively large hard-endpoint trials demonstrating the efficacy of several therapeutic strategies for slowing or even preventing the progression of CKD. Strategies which have been proven in randomised controlled trials to slow the progression of diabetic and non-diabetic CKD are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1. Proven Strategies for Retarding Progression of Chronic Kidney Disease

Strategy	Evidence
Tight BP control: target blood pressure 110-130/75-80, if tolerated	Modification of Diet in Renal Disease - MDRD (36)
	Appropriate Blood Pressure Control in Diabetes -ABCD (27)
	African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension - AASK (27)
	ACE Inhibition in Progressive Renal Disease – AIPRD (25)
ACE Inhibitor: target proteinuria <500 mg/d	The Collaborative Study Group, Lewis (37)
	Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibition in Progressive Renal Insufficiency Study Group - APRI (38)
	Ramipril Efficacy in Nephrology – REIN (39)
	African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension - AASK (27)
ARB: first choice if ACE inhibitor-intolerant	Reduction in Endpoints in NIDDM with Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan - RENAAL (31)
	Irbesartan Diabetic Nephropathy Trial - IDNT (32)
ACE Inhibitor + ARB for greater antihypertensive and antiproteinuric effect with reduced progression	Candesartan and Lisinopril Microalbuminuria - CALM (34)
	Combination Treatment of angiotensin-II receptor blocker and angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor in non-diabetic renal disease - COOPERATE (35)
Dietary protein restriction	Modification of Diet in Renal Disease - MDRD (36)
Blood sugar control	Diabetes Control and Complications Trial - DCCT (type 1 DM) (40)
	UK Prospective Diabetes Study -UKPDS (type 2 DM) (41)
Anti-proteinuric therapies	Avoid DHCEB, not antiproteinuric: ABCD (27), AASK (27), IDNT(32)
	beta-blocker: AASK (27)
	Control fluids: MDRD (36)
	Cease smoking: Orth (17)

Although no clinical trial has been performed to test screening strategies of hypertension, by evaluating

treatment clinical trials Rembold (24) has shown that if detection is followed by treatment, the screening may

produce the largest clinical benefit. Hypertension and nephrotic-range proteinuria are the most important progression factors that strongly predict renal function decline, regardless of the underlying cause of CKD (12). The single most important means of slowing disease progression is adequate control of hypertension - shown in a recent meta-analysis of 11 trials (25). The need for a low-normal blood pressure applies particularly to proteinuric patients that has been proven in large trials (26,27,28) By far biggest step it has been made by effectively lowering albuminuria and hyperfiltration with the introduction of tools to intervene in the renin-angiotensin aldosterone system, such as ACE inhibitors and Ang II receptor blockers (ARBs). From the trials involving ACE inhibitors the evidence has been gleaned to support the recommendation in the current guidelines for a blood pressure <130/80 (29) and a systolic as low as 110 (25) in proteinuric patients, if tolerated. The protective effect of ACE inhibitors correlates with the initial level of proteinuria, and is seen even in patients with low-grade proteinuria, (28) or advanced renal disease.(30)

ARBs have been shown to slow progression in patients with type 2 diabetes (31,32) The relative risk of ESRD was reduced by 20% to 30% in these studies. In type 2 diabetic patients with microalbuminuria, the ARB irbesartan was shown to reduce the progression to clinical diabetic nephropathy. (33). A combination of an ACE inhibitor and ARB has been shown in several trials to have a greater anti-proteinuric effect than either treatment alone, (34,35).

In order to avoid the devastating health and economic effects of CKD, worldwide action is clearly needed to develop and implement screening strategies and wide scale preventive programs that are of great interest today and will certainly be the challenge for the future. Finally, the primary prevention of CKD, at least in part, by the eradication of type 2 diabetes and obesity (through improvement of lifestyle factors), and adequate treatment of hypertension, have the potential to eliminate up to half of the most common causes of CKD (or ESRD) in developed countries.

References

1. Renal Data System. USRDS 2003 annual data report: atlas of end-stage renal disease in the United States. Bethesda, Md.: National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, 2003.
2. Lysaght MJ. Maintenance dialysis population dynamics: current trends and long-term implications. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2002;13:S37- S40
3. Coresh J, Wei G, McQuillan G, et al. Prevalence of high blood pressure and elevated serum creatinine level in the United States. Findings from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (1988-1994). *Arch Intern Med* 2001;161:1207-1216
4. Coresh J, Astor BC, Greene T, et al. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease and decreased kidney function in the adult U.S. population: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003;41:1-12
5. Brenner BM. Nephron adaptation to renal injury or ablation. *Am J Physiol* 1985;249(3 Pt 2):F324-F337
6. Remuzzi G, Ruggenti P, Benigni A. Understanding the nature of renal disease progression. *N Engl J Med* 1997;51:2-15
7. Boonstra A, de Zeeuw D, de Jong PE et al. G. Role of genetic variability in the renin-angiotensin system in diabetic and nondiabetic renal disease. *Semin Nephrol* 2001;21:580-92
8. El Nahas M. Progression of chronic renal failure. In: Johnson RJ, Feehally J, editors. *Comprehensive Clinical Nephrology*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Ltd, 2003:843-56
9. Garrett PJ, Bass PS, Sandeman DD. Barker, Brenner and babies – early environment and renal disease in adulthood. *J Pathol* 1994;173:299-300
10. Hovind P, Rossing P, Tarnow L et al. Remission and regression in the nephropathy of type 1 diabetes when blood pressure is controlled aggressively. *Kidney Int* 2001;60:277-83
11. Locatelli F, Maccelli D, Comelli M and the North Italian Cooperative Study Group. Proteinuria and blood pressure as causal components of progression to end-stage renal failure. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1996;11:461-7
12. Hunsicker LG, Adler S, Caggiula A et al. Predictors of progression of renal disease in the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study. *Kidney Int* 1997;51:1908-19
13. Bohle A, Kressel G, Muller CA, Muller GA. The pathogenesis of chronic renal failure. *Pathol Res Pract* 1989;185:421-40
14. Remuzzi A, Mazerska M, Gephardt GN et al. Three-dimensional analysis of glomerular morphology in patients with subtotal nephrectomy. *Kidney Int* 1995;48:155-62
15. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. *N Engl J Med* 1993;329:977-86
16. Fried LF, Orchard TJ, Kasiske BL. Effect of lipid reduction on the progression of renal disease. A meta-analysis. *Kidney Int* 2001;59: 260-9
17. Orth SR. Smoking and the kidney. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2002;13:1663-72
18. Gambaro G, D'Angelo A, Conte M et al. Silent chronic kidney disease epidemic seen from Europe: Designing strategies for clinical management of the early stages. *J Nephrol* 2005;18:123-135
19. McClellan WM, Ramirez SP, Jurkovitz C. Screening for chronic kidney disease: unresolved issues. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2003;14 (2): S81-7
20. Verhave JC, Gansevoort RT, Hillege HL et al. for the PREVEND Study Group. An elevated urinary albumin excretion predicts de novo development of renal function impairment in the general population. *Kidney Int* 2004; 66 (92): S18-21
21. KEEP: Kidney Early Evaluation Program. Annual atlas of the National Kidney Foundation's early

- evaluation program data. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003;42 (4): S4
22. Atkins RC, Briganti EM., Zimmet PZ, Chadban SJ. Association between albuminuria and proteinuria in the general population: the AusDiab Study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2003;18:2170-2174
 23. Iseki K. The Okinawa Screening Program *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2003;14:S127-S130
 24. Rembold CM. Number needed to screen. *Development of a statistic for disease screening. BMJ* 1998;317:307-12
 25. Jafar TH, Stark PC, Schmid CH et al. Progression of chronic kidney disease: the role of blood pressure control, proteinuria, and angiotensin- converting enzyme inhibition. *Ann Intern Med* 2003;139:244-52
 26. Schrier RW, Estacio RO, Esler A, Mehler P. Effects of aggressive blood pressure control in normotensive type 2 diabetic patients on albuminuria, retinopathy and strokes (ABCD). *Kidney Int* 2002;61:1086-97
 27. African American Study of Kidney Disease and Hypertension. Effect of blood pressure lowering and antihypertensive drug class on progression of hypertensive kidney disease: Results from the AASK trial. *JAMA* 2002;288:2421-31
 28. The GISEN Group (Gruppo Italiano di Studi Epidemiologici in Nefrologia): Randomised placebo-controlled trial of effect of ramipril on decline in glomerular filtration rate and risk of terminal renal failure in proteinuric, non-diabetic nephropathy. *Lancet* 1993;349:1857-63
 29. Caring for Australians with Renal Impairment. Part 2. Early Kidney Disease Guidelines. Prevention of Progression of Kidney Disease. Available at: <http://www.kidney.org.au/cari>. Accessed 10 August 2004
 30. Wilmer WA, Rovin BH, Hebert CJ et al Management of glomerular proteinuria: A commentary. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2003;14:3217-32
 31. Brenner BM, Cooper ME, de Zeeuw D et al. Effects of losartan on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes (RENAAL). *N Engl J Med* 2001;345: 861-9
 32. Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR et al. Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes (IDNT). *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:851-60
 33. Parving HH, Lehnert H, Brochner-Mortensen J et al. Irbesartan in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Microalbuminuria Study Group. The effect of irbesartan on the development of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:870-8
 34. Morgensen CE, Neldham S, Tikkanen I et al. Randomised controlled trial of dual blockade of renin-angiotensin system in patients with hypertension, microalbuminuria, and noninsulin dependent diabetes: The candesartan and lisinopril microalbuminuria (CALM) study. *BMJ* 2000;321:1440-4
 35. Nakao N, Yoshimura A, Morita H et al. Combination treatment of angiotensin-II receptor blocker and angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor in non-diabetic renal disease (COOPERATE): A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2003;361:117-24
 36. Peterson JC, Adler S, Burkart JM, Greene T et al. Blood pressure control, proteinuria, and the progression of renal disease. The Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study. *Ann Intern Med* 1995;123:754-62.
 37. Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Bain RP, Rohde RD. The effect of angiotensin converting-enzyme inhibition on diabetic nephropathy. The Collaborative Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1993;329:1456-62
 38. Maschio G, Alberti D, Janin G, Locatelli F et al. Effect of the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor benazepril on the progression of chronic renal insufficiency. The Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibition in Progressive Renal Insufficiency (APRI) Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1996;33:939-45
 39. Hoy WE, Douglas-Denton RN, Hughson MD et. al. A stereological study of glomerular number and volume: preliminary findings in a multiracial study of kidneys at autopsy. *Kidney Int* 2003;83:S31-S37
 40. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. *N Engl J Med* 1993;329:977-86
 41. UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. Intensive blood glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 January 2005, Vol. 34 No. 1 (UKPDS33). *Lancet* 1998;352:837-53